CHAPTER 1

UNDERSTANDING INTELLECTUAL DISABILITIES

CHAPTER OUTLINE

Core Concepts
Historical Perspectives
Disciplines and Professions

Disciplinary Perspectives and Contributions

Terminology

Contributions of Biological and Medical Sciences

Contribution of the Behavioral Sciences

Contributions of Education

Disciplinary Collaboration

Concepts, Definitions, and Classifications

Intellectual disabilities as a Concept

Intellectual disabilities: A Definition in Transition

Incidence and Prevalence

Classification

Cross-categorical Issues

Purposes and Uses of Definitions and Classifications

Criterion-Referenced versus Norm-Referenced Measurement

Labeling

New Issues and Future Directions

Core Questions

Round-Table Discussion

References

CORE CONCEPTS

- The concept of intellectual disabilities is continually influenced by economic, societal, and situational factors.
- People with intellectual disabilities often need services and supports from many different professionals.
- No single discipline has the breadth and depth of expertise and resources necessary to fully provide for people who have intellectual disabilities.
- The term intellectual disabilities encompasses a wide range of characteristics; it is both a label of fact and a label of conjecture.
- The medical profession has had a long history of involvement in the field of intellectual disabilities.
- Many behavioral sciences, particularly psychology, have been concerned with intellectual disabilities.
- Education has been integrally involved with the challenges associated with intellectual disabilities.

- To better support individuals with intellectual disabilities, professionals must coordinate efforts and involve the family.
- Serving children in an inclusive educational setting emphasizes the need for collaboration among professionals.
- The concept of intellectual disability is made more complex because the varying professions that deal with it hold widely divergent viewpoints.
- The 2002 American Association on Mental Retardation (AAMR) definition employs intellectual functioning and adaptive behavior.
- It is important to distinguish between incidence and prevalence and to consider other factors, such as socioeconomic status (SES), severity, and age, when determining how frequently intellectual disabilities occurs.
- Multiple classification systems are used by the 2002 AAMR definition document to address grouping individuals by (a) service reimbursement or funding, (b) research services, and (c) communication about selected characteristics. These classification systems are based, for example, "on the intensities of needed supports, etiology, levels of measured intelligence, or levels of assessed adaptive behavior" (AAMR, 2002, p. 99)
- Cross-categorical definition and classification models have emerged because conventional categories are not always effective and functional.
- The purposes and uses of definition and classification schemes must be considered and related to assessment procedures employed and to the impact of labels.
- A number of cultural changes between and within the professions are needed to enhance collaboration on challenges of those with disabilities.
- New issues and future directions in the definition and classification of intellectual disabilities may include a better balance between individual and environmental factors.

SUGGESTED INSTRUCTIONAL ACTIVITIES

- 1. Assign the class the task of identifying examples of interdisciplinary cooperation.
- 2. Provide time in class for reports and a discussion on what motivated the collaboration. Conversely, have students identify examples of specific incidents that demonstrate a lack of interdisciplinary cooperation. Again, allow for reports of such incidents and guide a discussion on how the reported incident could have been better handled to promote a cooperative interaction.
- 3. Using the text and other sources, have the students trace the changing attitudes toward people with intellectual disabilities.
- 4. Taking a developmental stage approach, have the students discuss particular stages in which various disciplines are central in the core delivery system for those with intellectual disabilities. Have them also identify disciplines or fields of study that are secondary contributors at each developmental level.
- 5. Using the Core Questions at the end of the chapter, divide the class into groups with each group being assigned a question. Have each discuss thoroughly the assigned question and select one individual to report the outcome of the discussion to full class.
- 6. Using the Round-Table Discussion material at the end of the chapter divide the class into groups with each group being assigned a disciplinary perspective (e.g., psychology, education, politics). Have each discuss thoroughly the phenomenon of mental retardation with respect to labeling and select one individual to report the outcome of the discussion to full class.
- 7. Using the material in the chapter and volumes available in the library, have the class thoroughly research background on definitions and classification systems of mental retardation. Divide the class into groups that focus on traditional systems that are currently used (or those from other countries versus those of the United States). Have the groups select debate teams or individuals to argue the case for their assigned position before the class.
- 8. After thoroughly reviewing all available material on definitions and classification systems, have students develop in writing their own scheme for conceptualizing mental retardation. These schemes should included rationales for each component, the general perspective of the scheme, and logical cases as to why it might be preferable to existing approaches.
- 9. After reading the material in this chapter, have students consult material available in the library on labeling and the self-fulfilling prophecy and then prepare a short paper (5 to 10 pages) examining the pros and cons of labeling. An alternative to the paper could involve groups debating the issues of labeling.